

Scientific Management in Language Education: A Case Study of the School of Foreign Languages at Thai Nguyen University

Duong Thi Thu Hien^{1,*} | Vu Thi Huyen Trang¹ | Le Huu Thang¹

¹School of Foreign Languages - Thai Nguyen University

*Corresponding Author

Received 01-05-2025

Revised 08-05-2025

Accepted 01-06-2025

Published 07-06-2025



Copyright: ©2025 The Authors. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Abstract:

This study explores the implementation and perception of scientific management practices at the School of Foreign Languages (SFL), Thai Nguyen University, within the broader context of Vietnamese higher education reform. Using a qualitative case study approach, data were collected through interviews, observations, and document analysis involving faculty members, department heads, and administrators. Thematic analysis revealed five core areas of reform: strategic planning, standardization, performance measurement, faculty perceptions, and implementation challenges. Findings show that while scientific management has improved operational efficiency and accountability, it also raises concerns related to academic autonomy, workload, and inconsistent application. The study contributes to understanding how management reforms intersect with institutional culture and offers context-sensitive recommendations for governance in higher education.

Keywords: scientific management, higher education reform, governance, Vietnamese universities, performance measurement, faculty perception, institutional change

1. Introduction:

1.1. Background of the Study:

In recent decades, higher education systems around the world have increasingly adopted managerial reforms to enhance institutional efficiency, accountability, and performance (Deem, 2001; Marginson, 2016). This shift, often framed within the logic of scientific management, reflects a growing emphasis on standardized procedures, data-driven decision-making, and performance-based evaluation systems (Taylor, 1911; Nguyen & Ta, 2023). In Vietnam, the Ministry of Education and Training (MOET) has implemented reforms encouraging universities to

operate with greater autonomy while also mandating clearer performance indicators (MOET, 2020). Thai Nguyen University (TNU), as one of the key regional universities, has been a pilot site for many such initiatives, reflecting broader national goals of modernization and global integration.

1.2. Problem Statement:

Despite policy-level efforts to modernize university governance, the practical implementation of scientific management principles in Vietnamese higher education remains inconsistent and understudied. At TNU, multiple management practices such as KPI-based

evaluation, digital workflow systems, and standardized reporting mechanisms have been introduced. However, there is limited empirical evidence on how these reforms are perceived by faculty and administrators, how effectively they are implemented, and what impacts they have on institutional performance. This lack of systematic evaluation hampers informed decision-making and the sustainability of reform efforts.

1.3. Research Objectives:

Building on the challenges outlined above and grounded in the current landscape of higher education reform in Vietnam, this study sets out to explore the practical realities of applying scientific management within a university context. Specifically, this study aims to:

- Examine the current application of scientific management practices at Thai Nguyen University.
- Evaluate the perceived effectiveness of these practices from the perspective of key stakeholders.
- Identify challenges and enabling factors in the implementation of scientific management.
- Propose recommendations for improving governance practices in Vietnamese higher education institutions.

1.4. Research Questions:

Considering the study's objectives and the issues identified in the problem statement, the following research questions were formulated to guide the investigation:

- 1.4.1. What scientific management practices have been implemented at Thai Nguyen University?
- 1.4.2. How do university leaders, faculty, and staff perceive the effectiveness of these practices?
- 1.4.3. What challenges and barriers exist in the application of scientific management principles?

- 1.4.4. What lessons can be drawn for improving governance and accountability in Vietnamese higher education?

1.5. Significance of the Study:

This study is significant in several respects. First, it addresses a critical gap in the empirical understanding of how scientific management is applied within Vietnamese higher education, particularly in the context of a regional university. By focusing on the School of Foreign Languages at Thai Nguyen University, the research provides context-specific insights that contribute to both national discourse and global scholarship on higher education reform. Second, the study informs policymakers and educational leaders seeking to balance managerial efficiency with academic values. Third, it offers practical recommendations that can support more inclusive and responsive governance practices in universities undergoing modernization. Ultimately, the study contributes to the development of a more evidence-based, context-aware approach to institutional management in Vietnam.

2. Literature Review:

2.1. Conceptual Framework of Scientific Management:

Scientific management, pioneered by Frederick Winslow Taylor (1911), emphasizes task specialization, standardization, and performance optimization. In educational contexts, this model advocates for measurable outputs, streamlined workflows, and centralized decision-making (Rohde, 2019). The underlying rationale is to enhance institutional efficiency through evidence-based governance.

In modern university governance, scientific management often manifests in the form of KPIs (Key Performance Indicators), accountability frameworks, and managerial tools such as digital workflow systems and evaluation rubrics (Hazelkorn & Gibson, 2019). However, critics argue that rigid implementation may stifle academic creativity and autonomy (Deem, 2001; Marginson, 2016).

2.2. Scientific Management in Higher Education:

Globally, scientific management principles have been adopted unevenly across higher education systems. In Anglo-American contexts, the rise of new public management (NPM) has institutionalized managerialism through audit cultures, standardized evaluations, and global rankings (Bleiklie, 2014; Hood, 1995). These systems emphasize accountability, resource efficiency, and competitive performance—values aligned with Taylorist principles.

In East and Southeast Asia, modernization efforts have adopted hybrid governance models that combine traditional bureaucratic practices with new managerial strategies (Huang, 2017; Mok, 2005). Such reforms are often driven by regional and international pressures to raise educational standards and enhance institutional autonomy (Marginson, 2016).

Empirical studies indicate that performance-based management has brought improvements in accountability and transparency, yet it can also lead to unintended consequences such as faculty burnout, strategic gaming of metrics, and erosion of collegial governance (Shattock, 2010; Deem, 2001; Brennan & Shah, 2000). For instance, faculty in several countries report increased workloads and reduced academic freedom when administrative metrics become dominant (Altbach, 2015; Nguyen, 2021).

Successful implementation depends heavily on contextual alignment—ensuring that institutional missions, leadership styles, and cultural values are integrated with performance systems (Nguyen & Ta, 2023; Cheng, 2009). Participatory leadership and transparent communication are often cited as key mediators of reform success (Ferlie et al., 2008).

2.3. Vietnamese Higher Education Governance:

Vietnam's higher education reforms since the early 2000s have sought to decentralize control, enhance institutional autonomy, and promote

accountability (MOET, 2020; Harman et al., 2010). These reforms were influenced by broader global trends in university governance and the desire to make Vietnamese universities more competitive regionally and globally (Pham & Sloper, 2010).

Despite these intentions, the implementation of reforms has encountered numerous obstacles. One major issue is the ambiguity in policy guidelines, which often leads to varied interpretations at the institutional level (London, 2011). In addition, the shift toward a performance-based management culture has exposed gaps in the capacity of many universities to adopt data-driven planning and quality assurance practices (Nguyen & Tran, 2020).

Scientific management in Vietnam thus remains unevenly adopted. While leading universities in urban centers may demonstrate higher levels of institutional planning and KPI integration, smaller or regional universities tend to lag behind, constrained by limited resources and entrenched administrative cultures (Do & Pho, 2017). Leadership style and institutional culture are critical mediators in the effectiveness of these reforms, as successful implementation often requires both top-down vision and bottom-up engagement (Nguyen, 2021; Tran & Marginson, 2018).

2.4. Thai Nguyen University: Institutional Profile:

Thai Nguyen University (TNU) is a multi-disciplinary institution and a regional hub for education and research in Northern Vietnam. Within this broader institutional structure, the School of Foreign Languages (SFL) plays a vital role in delivering language education and teacher training, particularly in English, Chinese, and other regional languages. Over the past decade, SFL has taken proactive steps to modernize its administrative and academic operations through scientific management reforms.

SFL has piloted several initiatives such as the implementation of digitized reporting systems for staff activity tracking, structured evaluation

criteria aligned with national education reforms, and performance-based funding allocations. These practices are not only responses to university-wide policy but also locally driven adaptations that reflect the leadership's commitment to improving transparency and efficiency. For example, department heads are required to submit biannual reports based on KPI frameworks, and faculty members must log activities into a centralized management portal.

Despite these efforts, the implementation has varied across departments, with some units embracing the reforms enthusiastically while others express skepticism regarding their relevance to academic work. The case of SFL is thus illustrative of both the opportunities and limitations of applying scientific management principles in a faculty that must balance teaching quality, language-specific pedagogy, and institutional accountability.

Understanding how these reforms are perceived and enacted within SFL offers valuable insight into the broader implementation of scientific management in Vietnamese higher education and provides a reference point for similar institutions seeking to modernize governance while maintaining academic values

3. Methodology:

3.1. Research Design:

This study uses a qualitative case study design to explore how scientific management is implemented and perceived at the School of Foreign Languages, TNU. The case study approach is well-suited to in-depth examination of complex institutional phenomena within real-life contexts (Yin, 2018).

3.2. Participants:

Participants included 10 faculty members, 5 department heads, and 3 administrative leaders selected through purposive sampling. The diversity in roles allowed for triangulation of perspectives on implementation effectiveness, challenges, and institutional culture.

3.3. Data Collection:

Data were collected through semi-structured interviews, document analysis (e.g., annual reports, policy documents), and observations of staff meetings. Interviews were audio-recorded and transcribed for analysis. Ethical clearance was obtained from the university's research committee.

3.4. Data Analysis:

Data were analyzed using thematic coding (Braun & Clarke, 2006). An inductive approach was used to identify recurring themes aligned with the research questions. Triangulation and member checking were employed to enhance the validity of the findings.

4. Findings:

The data revealed five major themes reflecting both the implementation and perception of scientific management at the School of Foreign Languages (SFL), Thai Nguyen University (TNU).

4.1. Strategic Planning and Goal Setting:

Participants reported that strategic planning at SFL has become more structured in recent years, with clearly defined goals cascaded from the university to individual departments. Annual planning documents now emphasize alignment with institutional KPIs and national benchmarks.

"Previously we planned based on intuition. Now, every department must align its objectives with institutional strategy and national goals." (Interviewee 2, Department Head)

"Each semester, we receive planning templates with fixed targets. It helps with focus, but sometimes it ignores the actual needs of students." (Interviewee 6, Lecturer)

However, several faculty members expressed that while planning has become more systematic, it often lacks consultation with teaching staff.

"We are informed of the goals, but we're rarely asked about the teaching priorities or content feasibility." (Interviewee 4, Faculty Member)

4.2. Standardization and Process Efficiency:

The adoption of digital platforms (e.g., online forms, report tracking systems) was widely acknowledged as a positive development. These systems have reduced paperwork and improved transparency in task allocation and reporting.

"We now use a unified system to submit reports, which helps avoid redundancy. But not all staff are proficient in digital tools." (Interviewee 5, Administrative Officer)

"Everything is on record now, and that's good for accountability. But it also means we spend a lot of time just navigating the system." (Interviewee 8, Program Coordinator)

Despite improvements in efficiency, some staff members noted that rigid standardization has limited flexibility in dealing with student-centered tasks and academic innovation.

"The templates are fixed, and there's little room for adaptation, even when teaching a new course or trying new methods." (Interviewee 1, Lecturer)

4.3. Performance Measurement:

Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) are used to evaluate teaching, research output, and administrative engagement. Faculty noted increased pressure to publish, complete course documentation on time, and participate in institutional activities.

"I feel more accountable, but also more stressed. The metrics don't always reflect the quality of teaching or student feedback." (Interviewee 7, Lecturer)

"There's an overemphasis on publication numbers, even if they're not relevant to our teaching context or field expertise." (Interviewee 10, Faculty Member)

There is a perception that performance metrics are sometimes applied mechanically, without acknowledging contextual differences between disciplines.

"It doesn't make sense to apply the same metrics to literature and applied linguistics. We have

different timelines and outputs." (Interviewee 3, Lecturer)

4.4. Perceptions of Faculty:

Faculty responses to scientific management were mixed. Senior staff generally viewed the changes as necessary for modernization, while younger lecturers emphasized the constraints on autonomy and creativity.

"As a language teacher, I need some freedom to innovate in the classroom, but I feel constrained by too many administrative tasks." (Interviewee 3, Early-career Lecturer)

"There's a growing distance between teachers and administrators, especially when policies are enforced without discussion." (Interviewee 9, Senior Lecturer)

There is a sense of top-down control that demotivates faculty, particularly when decisions are perceived to lack transparency.

"Sometimes we find out about policy changes through hearsay before seeing anything official." (Interviewee 6, Lecturer)

4.5. Challenges to Implementation:

Three main barriers to successful implementation emerged:

- Lack of training in scientific management principles and digital tools.
- Inconsistent enforcement of procedures across departments.
- Cultural resistance from staff who are accustomed to more informal or flexible governance styles.

"We have the policies, but their interpretation varies. One department is very strict; another is more relaxed. That inconsistency creates confusion." (Interviewee 9, Program Coordinator)

"New systems are introduced quickly but without enough orientation or technical support for staff who aren't tech-savvy." (Interviewee 5, Administrative Officer)

"Some colleagues still prefer to do things manually. They feel the digital systems are too

complicated and not suitable for our teaching context." (Interviewee 7, Lecturer)

"There's a reluctance to change because many believe the old ways worked just fine. Unless there's an incentive or real understanding, they won't commit to new practices." (Interviewee 2, Department Head)

"In meetings, we often raise issues about unfair workloads or unclear expectations, but nothing changes. That discourages engagement." (Interviewee 6, Lecturer)

5. Discussion:

The findings reveal a partial and uneven implementation of scientific management principles at SFL, TNU. While administrative leaders frame strategic planning and standardized procedures as essential tools for modernization, the broader faculty body voices discomfort with the increasingly hierarchical structure. This tension mirrors international critiques of top-down managerialism, which often prioritize metrics over pedagogy and reduce academic staff to units of productivity (Ball, 2012; Clarke & Knights, 2015).

Although digital infrastructure and centralized planning tools have improved workflow efficiency, they have also introduced new layers of bureaucratic oversight. This finding is consistent with studies indicating that digital governance systems, when poorly contextualized, risk alienating faculty and reducing intrinsic motivation (Fazekas & Burns, 2022). Furthermore, the lack of adequate training and clarity around performance indicators exacerbates perceptions of unfairness and policy overload (Tran & Marginson, 2018).

Compared with neighboring ASEAN institutions, TNU appears to be undergoing what Welch (2011) refers to as a "hybrid transition phase," characterized by a coexistence of legacy practices and emergent managerial norms. This liminality can either lead to adaptive governance or entrenched resistance, depending on leadership responsiveness and cultural alignment (Mok &

Han, 2016). Thus, rather than viewing scientific management as a static solution, universities like SFL-TNU must adopt a more reflexive and participatory governance model to sustain reform momentum and faculty engagement.

6. Conclusion and Recommendations:

6.1. Conclusion:

This study examined the implementation and perception of scientific management practices at the School of Foreign Languages, Thai Nguyen University, within the broader context of higher education reform in Vietnam. Drawing on data from faculty and administrative stakeholders, the findings suggest that while scientific management has introduced greater structure, accountability, and process efficiency, it has also generated tensions around academic autonomy, contextual flexibility, and staff motivation.

Strategic planning and digital workflow systems have strengthened operational clarity, and the use of KPIs has formalized performance evaluation. However, these advances have not been without challenges. A lack of training, uneven policy enforcement, and resistance rooted in institutional culture continue to hinder the full realization of reform goals. Faculty concerns about administrative overload and reduced pedagogical freedom highlight the need for a more balanced and participatory approach.

The case of TNU reveals that scientific management, when applied without sensitivity to the academic ethos, risks becoming a compliance exercise rather than a transformative governance model. Nonetheless, with adaptive leadership, transparent communication, and sustained professional development, scientific management can serve as a viable strategy for enhancing institutional effectiveness in Vietnamese higher education.

6.2. Practical Recommendations:

7. Balance Managerial Control and Academic Freedom

Universities should design performance systems that recognize both quantitative outputs and

qualitative contributions. Teaching innovation, student mentoring, and curricular development must be weighted alongside publication and administrative tasks.

8. Strengthen Policy Communication and Staff Involvement

Faculty should be actively engaged in policy formulation and goal setting. Participatory governance increases buy-in and reduces resistance to reform.

9. Provide Professional Development on Scientific Management

Regular training on digital tools, performance evaluation, and time management can equip faculty and administrators to navigate new systems more effectively.

10. Institutionalize Monitoring and Feedback Loops

Implementation must include continuous assessment and adjustments. Feedback mechanisms should be institutionalized to ensure reforms are responsive to ground-level realities.

11. Future Research Directions

Longitudinal studies should be conducted to assess the impact of scientific management on student learning outcomes, staff retention, and organizational culture across diverse university settings in Vietnam.

References:

1. Altbach, P. G. (2015). What counts for academic productivity in research universities? *International Higher Education*, (81), 6–7.
2. Ball, S. J. (2012). Performativity, commodification and commitment: An I-spy guide to the neoliberal university. *British Journal of Educational Studies*, 60(1), 17–28.
3. Bleiklie, I. (2014). Comparing university organizations across boundaries. *Higher Education*, 67(4), 381–391. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-013-9684-0>
4. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101.
5. Brennan, J., & Shah, T. (2000). *Managing quality in higher education: An international perspective on institutional assessment and change*. Open University Press.
6. Cheng, Y. C. (2009). Development of research on school effectiveness and school improvement in East Asia. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 20(4), 397–408.
7. Clarke, C. A., & Knights, D. (2015). Career through academia: Securing identities or engaging ethical subjectivities? *Human Relations*, 68(12), 1865–1888.
8. Deem, R. (2001). Globalisation, New Managerialism, Academic Capitalism and Entrepreneurialism in Universities: Is the Local Dimension Still Important? *Comparative Education*, 37(1), 7–20.
9. Do, Q. H., & Pho, T. H. (2017). Understanding challenges in Vietnamese higher education reforms: A case study at a regional university. *VNU Journal of Science: Education Research*, 33(2), 33–45.
10. Fazekas, M., & Burns, T. (2022). Governing education through digital platforms: A comparative study of five OECD education systems. *OECD Education Working Papers*, No. 265.
11. Ferlie, E., Musselin, C., & Andresani, G. (2008). The steering of higher education systems: A public management perspective. *Higher Education*, 56(3), 325–348.
12. Harman, G., Hayden, M., & Nghi, P. T. (Eds.). (2010). *Reforming higher education in Vietnam: Challenges and priorities*. Springer.
13. Hazelkorn, E., & Gibson, A. (2019). *Global rankings and the geopolitics of higher education*. Routledge.

14. Hood, C. (1995). The “new public management” in the 1980s: Variations on a theme. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 20(2–3), 93–109.
15. Huang, F. (2017). University governance in East Asia: Transformation under globalisation. *Higher Education Quarterly*, 71(2), 154–169.
16. London, J. D. (2011). Globalization and the governance of education in Vietnam. In J. Zajda (Ed.), *Globalisation and education reforms* (pp. 97–110). Springer.
17. Marginson, S. (2016). *The Dream is Over: The Crisis of Clark Kerr's California Idea of Higher Education*. University of California Press.
18. Ministry of Education and Training (MOET). (2020). *Circular on Enhancing University Autonomy and Accountability in Vietnam*.
19. Nguyen, T. (2021). Managing university autonomy in Vietnam: Between policy and practice. *Asian Education and Development Studies*, 10(2), 158–172.
20. Nguyen, T. M., & Ta, N. H. (2023). *Scientific Management in Vietnamese Higher Education: Challenges and Prospects*. *Journal of Educational Management*, 12(2), 45–63.
21. Nguyen, T. M., & Tran, T. T. (2020). The role of university leadership in reforming teaching and learning: Lessons from Vietnam. *Asian Education and Development Studies*, 9(2), 299–312.
22. Pham, T. H. (2022). Higher education reform in Vietnam: Moving towards autonomy and accountability. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 92, 102593.
23. Rohde, S. (2019). Reassessing Taylor: The relevance of scientific management in the digital age. *Journal of Management History*, 25(3), 278–295.
24. Shattock, M. (2010). *Managing successful universities*. McGraw-Hill Education.
25. Taylor, F. W. (1911). *The Principles of Scientific Management*. Harper & Brothers.
26. World Bank. (2021). *Universities and knowledge economies in ASEAN: Reshaping policies for competitiveness*. <https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-1645-1>
27. Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case study research and applications: Design and methods* (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.